



University  
of Glasgow

THE AWARDS  
2020

UNIVERSITY  
OF THE YEAR

Assessing Your Assessments:  
creating meaningful  
and  
inclusive assessment tasks

---

DR KIMBERLY DAVIS

SENIOR ACADEMIC AND  
DIGITAL DEVELOPMENT  
ADVISOR

UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW

WORLD  
CHANGING  
GLASGOW



# Pedagogy of Assessment

- **Why do we assess our students?**
  - The purpose of assessment is to judge student performance or progress, and to determine student interests to make judgments about their learning process.
  - In an ideal scenario, assessment presupposes a formative dimension aimed at helping students to learn better and to improve teaching and learning (Biggs 2003; Boud and Falchikov 2007; Gulikers et al. 2008).
- **Types of Assessment**
  - Assessment *of* learning
  - Assessment *for* learning
  - Assessment *as* learning



University  
of Glasgow

## **What is an Accessible Assessment?**

The word “accessible” means different things to different people. Accessibility in higher education is no longer just about removing the physical barriers that can hinder a student, but the potential social, environmental and cognitive barriers that can make it harder for students to succeed.



Inclusive learning and teaching in higher education refers to the ways in which pedagogy, curricula and assessment are designed and delivered ***to engage students in learning that is meaningful, relevant and accessible to all.*** It embraces a view of the individual and individual difference as the source of diversity that can enrich the lives and learning of others.

(Hockings, 2010, my emphasis)





## Question 1

- **What are the things that prevent our students from engaging with our assessment tasks?**

Or, another way to think about this:

- **What makes our assessments inaccessible?**





## Constructive Alignment (CA)

- Constructive alignment is the process of linking:
  - Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs)
  - Teaching and learning
  - Assessment
- CA is one of the most common models used by academic staff in universities





## How do we use this conceptualization to make decisions towards more inclusive learning design? Part 1: Reflection

- Making decisions about more inclusive instructional design is an exercise in pedagogy, which can be seen here as an intersection of disciplinary mastery, rigor of psycho-social concepts, and empathy. Making these decisions requires data relevant to all parts of that intersection. This data can be functionally obtained through critical self reflection that asks the following types of questions in the following order:
- Academic/content questions: What is the material? What are the complex ideas? What are the fundamental concepts?
- Logistical: Think of this as stats for you session - # of students, how much time you have, place in the learning journey of the semester/programme? What is the lesson plan? What has to be in the lesson? How is the material assessed? What technology do you use? Is there an important “why” to any of these answers?



- **Think about the academic/content and logistical reflections together, and ask yourself:**
- Necessarily Empathetic questions: How does everything you've reflected upon make learning hard for students in that lesson? What kind of background would make it difficult for a student to learn from your lesson? – from an emotional point of view i.e. Have students identified with it too much? Or too little? How challenging is the material? From a practical point of view, i.e. How complex are the ideas? Do experienced practitioners ever find it easy? Are students pressed for time? Is discussion difficult because of class size? Does a student need a lot of spare time outside class?
- Your answers to these empathetic questions need to be a synthesis of:
  - your academic/content reflections, and your logistical reflections, you can begin your experience with students
- This is because your empathetic reflections will serve as the basis of your inclusion fail-points.





- **1) Biography of the lesson**

- *This includes*

- *When does the session run? Where is it in the semester, in the programme?*
- *In what space is the session run? What's it like?*
- *What materials and technology do you use?*
- *How many students?*
- *Why does the session exist?*
- *What content is covered? What is the session like (i.e. brief outline of the lesson plan)*
- *What you'd like the session to be/be able to do in an ideal world*



- **2) Reflections on student expectations/experience/reactions**
- *This is based on your experiences as well as student feedback, and includes:*
  - What students normally say about the session
  - What your students' performance is typically like
  - The problems your students have had with the session, inclusivity related and otherwise
  - your previous insights as the “why” of the above reflections



- **3) What value must remain in the lesson, no matter what, and where you see flexibility**
- *This includes:*
  - *how the session currently supports the assessment, and what must remain even after a redesign*
  - *time and resource related matters, such as session length, materials, or texts*
  - *links to other parts of the programme*
  - *ambitions you have for the session*



University  
of Glasgow

## Question 2

How can rewriting/reconsidering your ILOs help you design out accessibility issues?



## Part 2: Alignment

- Separately, the lesson being delivered will need to have its learning outcomes re-written/ expanded to include all three domains of learning. Once these are complete, each fail-points can then be aligned to the verb/domain of learning that it most compromises.
- Once inclusion fail-points and domains are aligned, the lecturer can begin using the verb in the intended learning outcome to “cancel” out the fail-point’s impact.

Ex:

- If the cognitive verb of the intended learning outcome is “explain” but aligned fail-point is “dense material in limited class time”, the lesson can be redesigned so that students have to explain the material in a situation where its density is less of a factor, such as breaking the material up between individual students or groups of students.



# The COGNITIVE Domain

The cognitive domain deals with how we acquire, process, and use knowledge. It is the "thinking" domain. The table below outlines the six levels in this domain and verbs that can be used to write learning objectives.

-----Increasing Complexity----->					
Remember	Understand	Apply	Analyze	Evaluate	Create
Retrieve relevant knowledge from long-term memory	Construct meaning from instructional messages, including oral, written, and graphic communication	Carry out or use a procedure in a given situation	Break material into its constituent parts and determine how the parts relate to one another and to an overall structure or purpose	Make judgments based on criteria and standards	Put elements together to form a coherent or functional whole; reorganize elements into a new pattern or structure
Arrange Cite Choose Count Define Describe Duplicate Identify Label List Locate Match Name Outline Recall Recite Recognize Record Repeat Restate Review Select State	Abstract Associate Categorize Clarify Classify Compare Conclude Contrast Exemplify Explain Extrapolate Generalize Illustrate Infer Interpret Map Match Paraphrase Predict Represent Summarize Translate	Apply Carry out Demonstrate Determine Develop Employ Execute Implement Operate Show Sketch Solve Use	Analyze Attribute Deconstruct Differentiate Discriminate Distinguish Focus Organize Outline Parse Select Structure	Argue Assess Check Conclude Coordinate Criticize Critique Detect Evaluate Judge Justify Monitor Prioritize Rank Rate Recommend Test	Assemble Build Combine Compose Construct Create Design Draft Formulate Generate Hypothesize Integrate Plan Produce



## The AFFECTIVE Domain

The affective domain deals with our attitudes, values, and emotions. It is the "valuing" domain. The table below outlines the five levels in this domain and verbs that can be used to write learning objectives.

**Affective Domain Levels**

-----Increasing Complexity----->

Receiving	Responding	Valuing	Organization	Characterization
Openness to new information or experiences	Active participation in, interaction with, or response to new information or experiences	Attaching value or worth to new information or experiences	Incorporating new information or experiences into existing value system	Full integration/ internalization resulting in new and consistent attitudes, beliefs, and/or behaviors
Ask Choose Describe Follow Give Hold Identify Locate Name Select Reply Use	Answer Assist Aid Compile Conform Discuss Greet Help Label Perform Practice Present Read Recite Report Select Tell Write	Complete Demonstrate Differentiate Explain Follow Form Initiate Join Justify Propose Read Share Study Work	Adhere Alter Arrange Combine Compare Complete Defend Formulate Generalize Identify Integrate Modify Order Organize Prepare Relate Synthesize	Act Discriminate Display Influence Listen Modify Perform Practice Propose Qualify Question Revise Serve Solve Verify Use

## The PSYCHOMOTOR Domain

The psychomotor domain deals with manual or physical skills. It is the "doing" domain. The table below outlines the five levels in this domain and verbs that can be used to write learning objectives.

Psychomotor Domain Levels				
-----Increasing Complexity----->				
Imitation	Manipulation	Precision	Articulation	Naturalization
Observing and copying another's action/skill	Reproducing action/skill through instruction	Accurately executing action/skill on own	Integrating multiple actions/skills and performing consistently	Naturally and automatically performing actions/skills at high level
Adhere Copy Follow Repeat Replicate	Build Execute Implement Perform Recreate	Calibrate Complete Control Demonstrate Perfect Show	Adapt Combine Construct Coordinate Develop Formulate Integrate Master Modify	Design Invent Manage Project Specify



Higher Order  
Thinking Skills

## Evaluation

Make and defend judgments based on internal evidence or external criteria.

appraise  
argue assess attach  
choose compare conclude  
contrast defend describe discriminate  
estimate evaluate explain judge justify interpret  
relate predict rate select summarize support value

## Synthesis

Compile component ideas into a new whole or propose alternative solutions.

arrange assemble categorize collect combine comply  
compose construct create design develop devise explain  
formulate generate plan prepare rearrange reconstruct relate  
reorganize revise rewrite set up summarize synthesize tell write

## Analysis

Break down objects or ideas into simpler parts and find evidence to support generalizations.

analyze appraise breakdown calculate categorize compare  
contrast criticize diagram differentiate discriminate distinguish  
examine experiment identify illustrate infer model outline  
point out question relate select separate subdivide test

## Application

Apply knowledge to actual situations.

apply change choose compute demonstrate discover  
dramatize employ illustrate interpret manipulate  
modify operate practice predict prepare produce  
relate schedule show sketch solve use write

## Comprehension

Demonstrate an understanding of the facts.

classify convert defend describe discuss  
distinguish estimate explain express  
extend generalized give example(s)  
identify indicate infer locate paraphrase  
predict recognize rewrite review select  
summarize translate

## Knowledge

Remember previously learned information.

arrange define describe duplicate  
identify label list match memorize  
name order outline recognize  
relate recall repeat reproduce  
select state





## Accessibility and Learning Differences

- **Layout**

- Ensure that documents given to students with dyslexia contain only the instructions needed for the exercise; omit any unnecessary details as these could be distracting
- All materials for students with dyslexia should have a clear layout, short sentences and an uncomplicated structure.

- **Illustrations**

- Use images that exemplify sentences or unfamiliar words
- Space out the instructions and add a diagram, so that students can follow it without having to understand every word.





University  
of Glasgow



**Other elements to consider for accessible assessments**



## Accessibility and Learning Differences

- **Fonts and background colours**
  - Use sans serif fonts, such as Arial and Comic Sans, as letters can appear less crowded. Alternatives include Verdana, Tahoma, Century Gothic, Trebuchet, Calibri, Open Sans.
  - Font size should be 12 to 14 points. Some dyslexic readers may request a larger font.
  - When you can, avoid using stark white backgrounds.





## Inclusive Language

- Consider the diversity of your student population with inclusive language. Inclusive language further highlights our respect for the diversity of backgrounds in the classroom.
- Use varied names and socio-cultural contexts in test questions, assignments and case studies.
- Use language that is truly generic, ie, winter/holiday break instead of Christmas break and house of worship instead of church.
- Use language to acknowledge different lived experiences: “For those of you who have studied abroad/seen Field of Dreams/been on a ferry...”



## Accessible Assessments

- **Alternative formats**
  - Consider whether a written brief is the best way to deliver the task to your students.
  - Make sure there are closed captions and transcripts available for any video or audio files you provide.





## Meaningful Assessments

Creating a meaningful assessment is about de-obfuscating achievement – creating a situation where the learning that students display cannot be faked by preparation or go unrecognised by the conventions of teaching.

These assessments also mirror what students might be asked to do in a real-world scenario. Thinking about assessments this way further supports the accessible nature of the assessments because both meaningful assessments and accessible assessments are designed with the idea of excising the arbitrary, and arbitrarily alienating, tasks that hinder student engagement with the assessments.



## Meaningful Assessment

- Why are you teaching your students this 'content'?
- What is it you want them to know/learn/understand/be able to do?
- How could they prove to you they 'get it'?
- How would they show you in a work-environment that they are using this knowledge/understanding?
- How does this course and this assessment fit into the programme of your students' learning, build on their current skills, and prepare them for future assessments?
- The answers to these questions will help you to think about assessments you might use.





## Accessibility Through Assessment Literacy

The last tip is perhaps the most important. All assessments can be accessible to students if they understand what the assessment is and how they are supposed to engage with it. Assessment literacy means that students have the knowledge of how to complete an assessment regardless of the format.

Take the time to help your students become assessment and feedback literate.

Teach students to understand the purpose of assessment and be explicit about your expectations related to how they engage with and complete the assessment.

Explain how feedback will be provided and how it can be used to support the students in their learning.



## The Perfect Assessment

- What does the perfect assessment look like for your course?
  - If time, the number of students, the number of students, the number of people who can mark, and the resources available were no object, what type of assessment would you present to your students?





University  
of Glasgow

THE AWARDS  
2020

UNIVERSITY  
OF THE YEAR

Questions?

---

DR KIMBERLY DAVIS  
KIMBERLY.DAVIS@GLASGOW.AC.  
UK  
@DOCKIMWILDER

WORLD  
CHANGING  
GLASGOW